Decision Form

Barrington Zoning Board of Adjustment/Appeals

Application/petition # 2024-3-19

FINDINGS OF FACT

Having heard the testimony and considered the evidence presented, the Board determines the facts of this case to be:

Af	ing Date: 3/19/24 fidavit of publication/posting is on file. earing Date: 4/24/24	
A.	The applicant or appellant is (name and address):	Sherry & Ira Goldman 888 East Lake Road Dundee NY 14837
В.	The applicant or appellant is the owner /lessee/moris the subject of the application or appeal: 1/City/Village/Town of Barrington, Yates County	

- C. The property is presently in use for Lake Residential and has been so used continuously since for the life of the property.
- E. The property has been the subject of a prior appeal/variance/conditional use described as ______
- F. The applicant or appellant proposes (brief project description/attach plans):

known as (street address) 888 East Lake Road, Dundee, NY 14837

- G. The applicant or appellant requests:
 - an appeal of the zoning administrator's determination
 - □ a conditional use/special exception
 - □ a use variance
 - √ an area variance

under Section _____ of the ordinance.

The features of the proposed construction and property that relate to the grant or denial of the application or appeal are (refer to the language/standards of the ordinance):

The existing non-conforming lakeside setback is sought for continued approval to expand the second floor of the house to the south with a third bedroom and a new roof over the deck. The variance request is to stay within the current 2nd story & not be any closer to the lake with a front setback of 19' 6".

After speaking with Ira & reviewing the site plans presented by Patrick Flynn of Concept Design Plans & Elevations, the board decided to grant the variance for the lake front setback.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the above findings of fact the Board concludes that:

Appeal/Interpretation – The order of the zoning administrator (is/is not) in excess of his/her authority because (or) The zoning administrator's interpretation of Section of the zoning code (is/is not) a correct					
	erpretation because				
<u>Va</u>	riance – The variance (does/does not) meet all three of the following tests:				
A.	The hardship (is/is not) due to physical limitations of the property rather than the circumstances of the appellant because				
В.	The variance (will/will not) harm the public interest because				
C.	 Unnecessary hardship For an <u>area</u> variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The board of adjustment must consider the purpose of the zoning restriction, the zoning restriction's effect on the property, and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests. This standard reflects the new <i>Ziervogel</i> and <i>Waushara County</i> decisions. For a <u>use</u> variance, unnecessary hardship exists only if there is no reasonable use of the property without the variance. 				
D.	Unnecessary hardship (is/is not) present because				
	nditional Use – The application for a conditional use permit (does/does not) qualify under the criteria Section of the ordinance because				

ORDER AND DETERMINATION

On the basis of the above findings of fact,	conclusions	of law	and the	record	in this	matter	the l	board
orders:								

•	on – The zoning administra reversed) and the adminis	ator's order/interpretation of the zor strator is ordered to:	ning code or map is
	<u>al Use</u> – The requested (va ving conditions/mitigation	ariance/conditional use) is (denied/g n:	ranted/granted-in-part)
1			
1.			-
2			
			-
2.			

The zoning administrator is directed to issue a zoning permit incorporating these conditions and certifying by the petitioner/applicant's signature that he/she understands and accepts the conditions.

<u>Expiration of permit</u>. Any privilege granted by this decision must be exercised within <u>12</u> months of the date of this decision after obtaining the necessary building, zoning and other permits for the proposed construction. This period will be extended if this decision is stayed by the order of any court or operation of law.

<u>Revocation</u>. This order may be revoked by the Board after notice and opportunity to be heard for violation of any of the conditions imposed.

Appeals. This decision may be appealed by a person aggrieved by this decision or by any officer, department, board or bureau of the municipality by filing an action in certiorari in the circuit court for this county within 30 days after the date of filing of this decision. The municipality assumes no liability for and makes no warranty as to reliance on this decision if construction is commenced prior to expiration of this 30-day period.

Barrington Zoning Board of Adjustment/Appeals

Signed

Attest

Helene Ribble

Secretary

Dated: May 3, 2024

Filed: May 7, 2024